## Ayres, Donald

From: Gonzalez, Maria

Sent: Wednesday, April 03, 2013 2:10 PM

To: Guevara, David

Cc: Lam, Shelly; Jansen, Sally Subject: RE: Kokomo Dixon Road

I have only been in contact with the insurer with respect to our information request and have not discussed the AOC with them. I have not heard back on the level of cost documentation that they want. Your message below indicates that you were waiting to hear from them. We will keep incurring costs at the site and will incur additional costs putting together cost documentation. I'm not sure it make sense to keep updating the cost figure. Should I proceed to ask our finance folks for a full cost documentation on the costs through 12/31/13? I was waiting to hear back from you on whether they still wanted that after reviewing the citations.

From: Guevara, David [mailto:DGuevara@taftlaw.com]

Sent: Wednesday, March 13, 2013 11:32 AM

To: Gonzalez, Maria

Subject: RE: Kokomo Dixon Road

Maria,

Last week I sent the insurer the cases you cited. I just e-mailed the insurer now requesting a response to my question concerning the level of cost documentation required. I will update you upon receipt of a response.

Thank you.

# Taft /

David L. Guevara, Ph.D. / Attorney

Taft Stettinius & Hollister LLP
One Indiana Square, Suite 3500
Indianapolis, Indiana 46204-2023
Tel: 317.713.3500 • Fax: 317.713.3699

Direct: 317.713.3453

www.taftlaw.com / DGuevara@taftlaw.com

From: Gonzalez, Maria [mailto:gonzalez.maria@epa.gov]

**Sent:** Tuesday, March 12, 2013 6:45 PM

To: Guevara, David

**Cc:** Jansen, Sally; Lam, Shelly **Subject:** RE: Kokomo Dixon Road

I plan to revise the AOC to include your change to paragraph 9.p, as well as fix the formatting. I hope to send you a revision soon. It will be subject to Agency review and comment.

p.s. Have you heard back from the City's insurer on the level of cost documentation?

**From:** Guevara, David [mailto:DGuevara@taftlaw.com]

**Sent:** Tuesday, March 05, 2013 1:05 PM

To: Gonzalez, Maria

**Cc:** Jansen, Sally; Lam, Shelly **Subject:** RE: Kokomo Dixon Road

Maria,

I am following up on the e-mail below. Have you had an opportunity to review?

Please advise.

Thank you.

## Taft /

David L. Guevara, Ph.D. / Attorney

Taft Stettinius & Hollister LLP
One Indiana Square, Suite 3500
Indianapolis, Indiana 46204-2023
Tel: 317.713.3500 • Fax: 317.713.3699

Direct: 317.713.3453

www.taftlaw.com / DGuevara@taftlaw.com

Internal Revenue Service Circular 230 Disclosure: As provided for in Treasury regulations, advice (if any) relating to federal taxes that is contained in this communication (including attachments) is not intended or written to be used, and cannot be used, for the purpose of (1) avoiding penalties under the Internal Revenue Code or (2) promoting, marketing or recommending to another party any transaction or matter addressed herein.

This message may contain information that is attorney-client privileged, attorney work product or otherwise confidential. If you are not an intended recipient, use and disclosure of this message are prohibited. If you received this transmission in error, please notify the sender by reply e-mail and delete the message and any attachments.

From: Guevara, David

**Sent:** Monday, February 25, 2013 9:59 AM **To:** 'Gonzalez.Maria@epamail.epa.gov'

Cc: Jansen.Sally@epamail.epa.gov; Lam.Shelly@epamail.epa.gov

Subject: RE: Kokomo Dixon Road

Maria,

I am appreciative of the revisions to the proposed agreement. However, § IV(9)(p) is not accurate.

### Section IV(9)(p)

Section IV(9)(p) states that "[d]rums and wastes could be located beyond the property boundaries." However, according to the February 17, 2012 Site Assessment Report and the August 13, 2012 Action Memorandum, both drums and waste are, in fact, located beyond the property boundaries.

First, the Site Assessment documented "[s]everal partially buried drums . . . on the banks of the swale [that] appeared to be in deteriorated condition."

Second, the Site Assessment stated that "[d]umping was observed out of the boundary of the city property all the way to the Wildcat Creek on the north side and on the Sutton Towing property to the north." In fact, the Site Assessment documented "[a]uto parts, gasoline tanks, tires and metal slags . . . between the property fence and the rail road and on the Sutton Towing property."

Third, surface soil sample KD-SS-01 is offsite. The Site Assessment documented that KD-SS-01 "exceeded EPA's industrial RSL for lead of 800 mg/Kg." Similarly, KD-SS-01 "exceeded the TCLP criteria of 5 mg/L for lead."

Fourth, subsurface soil sample KD-SB-3 is offsite. The Site Assessment documented that KD-SB-3, at 10 to 12 feet, "exceeded EPA RSLs for arsenic in industrial soil of 1.6 mg/Kg."

Fifth, the Action Memorandum noted that the "OSC observed that one of the drums was close to a child's swing set on a neighboring residential property."

Accordingly, can § IV(9)(p) be revised as follows:

"Drums and waste are located beyond the property boundaries. Lead in the surface soil beyond the property boundaries exceeds EPA's industrial RSL and TCLP criteria. Arsenic in the subsurface soil beyond the property boundaries exceeds EPA RSLs for arsenic in industrial soil."

Please advise.

Thank you.

From: Gonzalez.Maria@epamail.epa.gov [mailto:Gonzalez.Maria@epamail.epa.gov]

Sent: Friday, February 15, 2013 7:55 PM

To: Guevara, David

Cc: Jansen.Sally@epamail.epa.gov; Lam.Shelly@epamail.epa.gov

Subject: RE: Kokomo Dixon Road

#### **CONFIDENTIAL: FOR DISCUSSION PURPOSES ONLY**

I am attaching a markup of the draft AOC, to reflect the changes we have been discussing, including the items discussed at our meeting, the language about potential off-site threats, the language in the activity description about notice of sampling, and the updated costs.

(See attached file: AOCmarkupsent021513.pdf)

The language and terms of the attached draft are subject to Agency review.

Maria Gonzalez Associate Regional Counsel Mail Code C-14J U.S. EPA Region 5 77 West Jackson Blvd. Chicago, Illinois 60604 (312) 886-6630